UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,251	10/31/2003	Takanobu Adachi	SHO-0025	9042
RADER FISH	7590 12/10/2007 MAN & GRAUER PLLC	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			FRISBY, KESHA	
WASHINGTO:			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

٦	(J)
- 1	

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/697,251	ADACHI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kesha Frisby	3714

Interview Summary	10/697,251	ADACHI ET AL.				
mierview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kesha Frisby	3714				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Kesha Frisby</u> .	(3) Carl Schaukowitch Reg	. No. 29,211.				
(2) <u>Primary John Hotaling</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>29 November 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 21</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: None.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative stated that the amended claim language is supported in the specification on page 25 paragraphs 0063-0069 and in Fig. 10. The applicant stated that Fig. 10 is the essence of the invention. The applicant's representative was told that the examiner would have to further search and consider the amendment currently filed. The applicant's representative was also told that he should have a response within two months.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO				
	JOHN M, HOTAI PRIMARY EXAL	LING, II MINER				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required